

Handwritten signature and circled numbers 10 and 3.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION No. 143 /2004 **Single Bench**

APPLICANT

Smt. Shesha Desouza, W/o. Tony Desouza,
Aged about 38 years, R/o. HG-97, Deori
Khurd Colony, Tahsil & District Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through its Secretary,
Education & Tribal Welfare Department,
D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. P.K. Mishra, Assistant Commissioner,
Tribal Welfare Department, District Korba
(C.G.) then posted at Korba.

P.R. No. 2692 Leg
Presented by Smt. C. K. Naidu
dated 22.11.04

**APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF
COURTS ACT.**

Int as under:

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, C.J.

Contempt Petition No. 143 OF 2004**APPLICANT**

Smt. Shesha Dsouza

Versus

NON-APPLICANTS

State of Chhattisgarh & another

Present : Shri S.C. Verma, counsel for the applicant.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, G.A. for the State/ respondent No.1

Miss. Sharmila Singhai, counsel for respondent No.2.

ORAL ORDER**(Passed on 27th February, 2007)**

The only direction that was issued by this Court while disposing of the writ petition No.3819 of 2003, dated 21.04.2004 was that the petitioner may file his representation before respondents No.1 and 2 and if and when such representation is filed, the respondents to consider the same in accordance with law, within a particular time-frame.

(2) The grievance made out in this contempt petition is that the respondents have not considered the representation of the petitioner. Therefore, according to the petitioner, it is willful disobedience of the orders and directions issued by this Court.

(3) The respondents have entered appearance and they have filed their counter-affidavit before this Court. In that they have stated that

they have considered the representation of the petitioner and have passed appropriate orders in accordance with law.

(4) Since the respondents have already considered the representation of the petitioner and have passed the orders, at this stage, it will not be necessary for this Court to initiate any contempt proceedings against the respondents. Accordingly, this contempt petition is disposed of. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner that if she is aggrieved by the orders passed by the respondents to approach appropriate forum for redressal of her all grievances.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice